

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,153	,153 08/20/2003		Takehiro Fukuwaki	NECE 20.585	6502	
26304	7590	09/27/2005		EXAMINER		
KATTEN N 575 MADIS	+	ROSENMAN LL	KIM, RICHARD H			
NEW YORK		22-2585		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2871		
				DATE MAILED, 0007000	DATE MAILED, 00070005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/644,153	FUKUWAKI, TAKEH	IIRO			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard H. Kim	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH		=				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compifollowing time periods:         <ul> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monther earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expired and the proposed amendment of filed after a final rejection,</li> </ul> </li> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	In the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a police of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replies the final rejection. It is a size of the final rejection of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIDE. Which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) attemption of the fee. It is after the mailing date of the final rejection of the final rejectio	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 Cly must be filed within e final rejection, whichever the final rejection.  RST REPLY WAS FILED and the appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, many the filed within two months, to avoid dismissal conth in 37 CFR 41.37(and f, will not be entered by	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the er is later. In no D WITHIN TWO ension fee have in fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any this of the date of the appeal. a).			
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ul>	w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re 16 and 41.33(a)).	educing or simplifying				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wvided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims 1 and 7, "wherein said case includes a cover for covering said opening therewith, said covering before formed as a part of the case" and "wherein the liquid crystal display unit is comprised of a backlight unit supplying backlight to the liquid cyrstal display panel, a light-guide and a light-reflector both constitting said backlight unit", respectively, would require further search and/or consideration.

RK

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER